IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 8310 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.R.BRAHMBHATT

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

SARDARBHAI VISANBHAI CHAUDHARI - Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & 2 - Respondents

==========

Appearance :

TANNA ASSOCIATES for Petitioner:
MS. BHAVIKA KOTECHA, LD. AGP for Respondent: 1,
RULE SERVED for Respondent: 2,
MR HS MUNSHAW for Respondent: 3,

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.R.BRAHMBHATT

Date : 14/08/2007

ORAL JUDGMENT

Shri. Munshaw, learned counsel appearing for respondent no.3 submits that this matter may be

disposed of as having become infructuous as the petitioner is retired as Deputy Chitnis and his grievance do not survive thereafter. Shri. Kariel for M/s Tanna Associates for the petitioner submits that he could not receive any instruction and therefore this may be disposed of with a liberty for the petitioner to revive in case of difficulty.

Accordingly the petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. However liberty is reserved to the petitioner to revive the same in case of difficulty pertaining to the grievance in the petition. Rule discharged. Interim relief granted earlier stands vacated. No cost.

[S.R. BRAHMBHATT, J]

/vgn